

OPTI 507 - Solid State Optics F2002 - I
 Solutions by TA - Jason Auxier
 HW# 4 - Chapter 5 problems

5.1. The Lagrangian of a system is

P. 1482
 Cohen-Tannoudji
 QM V. II

$$L(\vec{r}_i, \dot{\vec{r}}_i, t) \equiv KE - PE \quad (\dot{\vec{r}} \equiv \frac{d\vec{r}}{dt})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N m_i (\dot{\vec{r}}_i)^2 - V(\vec{r}_i)$$

($\vec{r}_i \equiv$ position of i th particle)

Lagrange's equation of motion are

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i}$$

where q_i is the ~~xxx~~ coordinate for the i th particle.

Notice that $\frac{d}{dt}$ is a total time-derivative,

i.e.,
$$\frac{d}{dt} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^N \dot{q}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \ddot{q}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_i}$$

This is because q_i and \dot{q}_i are implicit functions of time. Now, care must be taken here. All these partials act only on explicit dependence of the variables (i.e.,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (m\dot{x}) &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial q} (m\dot{x}) &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}} (m\dot{x}) &= m \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Now, for background, the EM field can be described by potentials:

$$\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}$$

$$\vec{E} = -\nabla \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$$

The Lorentz force is (No external forces/potentials)

$$\vec{F} = q (\vec{E} + \frac{1}{c} \vec{v} \times \vec{B})$$

$$\Rightarrow m \ddot{\vec{r}} = q (\vec{E} + \frac{1}{c} \dot{\vec{r}} \times \vec{B})$$

5.1 (cont.) The x-coord. is

$$m\ddot{x} = q \left[-\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial t} + \dot{y} \left(\frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} \right) - \dot{z} \left(\frac{\partial A_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial x} \right) \right]$$

The corresponding Lagrangian is

$$L(\vec{r}, \dot{\vec{r}}, t) = \frac{1}{2} m \dot{\vec{r}}^2 + \frac{q}{c} \dot{\vec{r}} \cdot \vec{A} - q\phi$$

To see this, let's use Lagrange's eq. (x-coord.):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} &= m \dot{x} + \frac{q}{c} A_x \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} \right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \dot{x}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} \right) + \dot{x}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}_i} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} \right) \\ &= \frac{q}{c} \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial t} + \left(\frac{q}{c} \dot{x} \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x} + \frac{q}{c} \dot{y} \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} + \frac{q}{c} \dot{z} \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial z} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ m \ddot{x} \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} &= + \frac{q}{c} \dot{x} \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x} + \frac{q}{c} \dot{y} \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} + \frac{q}{c} \dot{z} \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial x} - q \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \end{aligned}$$

Now, $\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}$

$$\Rightarrow m\ddot{x} + \frac{q}{c} \left[\frac{\partial A_x}{\partial t} + \dot{\vec{r}} \cdot \nabla A_x \right] = \frac{q}{c} \left[\dot{x} \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x} + \dot{y} \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} + \dot{z} \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow m\ddot{x} = q \left[-\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial t} + \dot{y} \left(\frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} \right) + \dot{z} \left(\frac{\partial A_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial x} \right) \right]$$

This is the same eq. of motion we derived from the Lorentz force. You would find similar agreement for the y & z-components. Thus, L is the correct Lagrangian.

Now, we can calculate the momentum:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{p} &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \vec{v}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\vec{r}}} \\ \vec{p} &= m \dot{\vec{r}} + \frac{q}{c} \vec{A} \\ \Rightarrow \dot{\vec{r}} &= \frac{1}{m} \left(\vec{p} - \frac{q}{c} \vec{A} \right) \end{aligned}$$

5.1 (cont.) The Hamiltonian is defined as -3-

$$H = KE + PE \quad (\text{Now, I will add the Lattice potential } W(\vec{r}))$$
$$\equiv \vec{p} \cdot \dot{\vec{r}} - L \equiv 2KE - L$$

Using $\dot{\vec{r}} = \frac{1}{m} (\vec{p} - \frac{q}{c} \vec{A})$, we obtain

$$H = \vec{p} \cdot \frac{1}{m} (\vec{p} - \frac{q}{c} \vec{A}) - \frac{1}{2m} (\vec{p} - \frac{q}{c} \vec{A})^2 - \frac{q}{cm} (\vec{p} - \frac{q}{c} \vec{A}) \cdot \vec{A} + q\phi + W(\vec{r})$$
$$= \frac{1}{m} (p^2 - \frac{q}{c} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{A} - \frac{q}{c} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{A} + \frac{q^2}{c^2} A^2) - \frac{1}{2m} (\vec{p} - \frac{q}{c} \vec{A})^2 + q\phi + W(\vec{r})$$

$$\boxed{H = \frac{1}{2m} (\vec{p} - \frac{q}{c} \vec{A})^2 + W(\vec{r}) + q\phi}$$

This is the eq. we want with $m \rightarrow m_e$
 $q \rightarrow e$.

5.2 Show $[f(\vec{r}), \vec{P}] = i\hbar \nabla f(\vec{r})$

We know $[x, p_x] = i\hbar$, $\vec{P} = -i\hbar \nabla$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } [f, -i\hbar \nabla]g &= -i\hbar f \nabla g + i\hbar \nabla(fg) \\ &= -i\hbar f \nabla g + i\hbar (f \nabla g + g \nabla f) \\ &= i\hbar g \nabla f \\ &= (i\hbar \nabla f)g \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore [f, \vec{P}] = i\hbar \nabla f$$

5.3. Show $[H_0, \vec{r}] = \frac{\hbar \vec{P}}{i m_0}$ with $H \equiv -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \nabla^2 + W(\vec{r})$

Notice: $[A+B, C] = (A+B)C - C(A+B)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= AC + BC - CA - CB \\ &= (AC - CA) + (BC - CB) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow [A+B, C] \equiv [A, C] + [B, C]$$

Also, $[W(\vec{r}), \vec{r}] = 0$ since $W(\vec{r})$ can be written as a Taylor expansion about $\vec{r}=0$.

$$\text{So, } [H_0, \vec{r}] = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \nabla^2, \vec{r} \right] = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} [\nabla^2, \vec{r}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}, x \right]g &= \frac{\partial^2(xg)}{\partial x^2} - x \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2} \equiv [\nabla^2, \vec{r}]_x g \\ &= \left(g \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(x) + 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2} \right) \\ &\quad - x \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2 \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = 2 \nabla_x g$$

Extrapolating for other two components,

$$[\nabla^2, \vec{r}] = 2 \nabla$$

$$\therefore [H_0, \vec{r}] = -\frac{\hbar^2}{m_0} \nabla = -\frac{\hbar^2}{m_0} \frac{1}{-i\hbar} (-i\hbar \nabla) = \frac{\hbar \vec{P}}{i m_0}$$

$$\Rightarrow [H_0, \vec{r}] = \frac{\hbar \vec{P}}{i m_0}$$

See Appendix I for a clever way to prove this.

S. 4. Eq. (5.22) says

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m_0} + W(\vec{r}) - \frac{e}{m_0 c} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{p} + \frac{e^2}{2m_0 c^2} A^2 \quad -5-$$

Show $(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{p})_{\text{term}} \gg (A^2)_{\text{term}}$. $\frac{p}{\hbar} = 10^5 \frac{1}{\text{cm}}$ & $I_0 \approx 10^6 \frac{\text{W}}{\text{cm}^2}$

Now, $\vec{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$

$$E = -\nabla\phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}$$

$$\vec{E} = \vec{E}_0 e^{-i\omega t} \Rightarrow \vec{E}_0 = i\frac{\omega}{c} \vec{A}_0 \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{A} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow A_0 \sim \frac{c}{\omega} E_0 = 30 \frac{c}{\omega} \sqrt{I_0}$$

Look at the largest intensity in the range with an optical freq. in the visible ($\lambda = 500 \text{ nm}$).

$$\omega = \frac{2\pi c}{\lambda} \Rightarrow A_0 = 30 \frac{(0.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm})}{2\pi} 10^3 \text{ V/cm}$$

$$A_0 \approx 0.2 \text{ V}$$

Now, $\hbar = 6.59 \times 10^{-16} \text{ eV}\cdot\text{s}$

$$\Rightarrow p = (10^5 \frac{1}{\text{cm}}) (6.6 \times 10^{-16} \text{ eV}\cdot\text{s}) = 6.6 \times 10^{-11} \frac{\text{eV}\cdot\text{s}}{\text{cm}}$$

1st term: $\frac{e}{m_0 c} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{p} \approx \frac{e^2}{m_0} \frac{(0.2 \text{ V})(6.6 \times 10^{-11} \text{ V}\cdot\frac{1}{\text{cm}})}{2.9979 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm/s}}$

$$= 4.4 \times 10^{-22} \frac{\text{V}^2}{(\text{cm/s})^2} \frac{e^2}{m_0}$$

2nd term: $\frac{e^2}{2m_0 c^2} A^2 = \frac{e^2}{m_0} \frac{(0.2 \text{ V})^2}{2(2.99 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm/s})^2}$

$$= 2.2 \times 10^{-23} \frac{\text{V}^2}{(\text{cm/s})^2} \frac{e^2}{m_0}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\text{1st term}}{\text{2nd term}} \approx 20$$

Thus at $I_0 = 10^6 \text{ W/cm}^2$, the 1st term is 20X larger than the second. Since $A \sim \sqrt{I_0}$ as you decrease I_0 , the margin between the terms will increase.

Appendix I Prove $[H_0, \vec{P}] = \frac{\hbar \vec{P}}{i m_0}$

-A1-

This is a very useful commutator:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [AB, C] &= ABC - CAB \\
 &= ABC - ACB + ACB - CAB \\
 &= A[B, C] + [A, C]B
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore [\vec{P}^2, F] = \vec{P} [\vec{P}, F] + [\vec{P}, F] \vec{P} = -2i\hbar \vec{P}$$

$$\therefore [H_0, \vec{P}] = \left[\frac{1}{2m_0} \vec{P}^2, F \right] = -\frac{i\hbar}{m_0} \vec{P}$$

$$\Rightarrow [H_0, \vec{P}] = \frac{\hbar \vec{P}}{i m_0}$$

Appendix II Delta Function Representations

Properties:
(see Gaskill
or Bartlett
or Zemanian)

$$\delta(x - x_0) = 0 \quad x \neq x_0$$

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} f(x) \delta(x - x_0) dx = f(x_0), \quad x_1 < x_0 < x_2$$

$$\delta(ax - x_0) = \frac{1}{|a|} \delta\left(x - \frac{x_0}{a}\right)$$

$$\delta(-x + x_0) = \delta(x - x_0)$$

$$\delta(-x) = \delta(x)$$

$$f(x) \delta(x - x_0) = f(x_0) \delta(x - x_0)$$

$$\delta(x) \delta(x - x_0) = 0, \quad x_0 \neq 0$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x - x_0) \delta(x - x_1) dx = \delta(x_0 - x_1)$$

Limiting Representations:

$$\delta(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \text{rect}\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)$$

$$\delta(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\epsilon/\pi}{x^2 + \epsilon^2}$$

$$\delta(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\epsilon} e^{-\frac{|x|}{\epsilon}}$$

$$\delta(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \text{sech}^2\left(\frac{|x|}{\epsilon}\right)$$

$$\delta(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-n^2 x^2}$$

$$\delta(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k \text{sinc}(kx) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sin(\pi kx)}{\pi k}$$

$$\text{Poisson: } \delta(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dv e^{2\pi i v x}$$